Case 1:19-cv-01774-VEC-SLC Document 146 Filed 04/01/21 Page 1 of 2

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 4/1/2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RODGER JENKINS and GREGORY JONES :

Plaintiffs,

-against- : 19-CV-1774 (VEC)

<u>ORDER</u>

XPRESSPA GROUP, INC.,

Defendant.

-----Σ

VALERIE CAPRONI, United States District Judge:

WHEREAS the parties are tentatively scheduled to appear before the Court for a bench trial in May 2021;

WHEREAS as of March 17, 2021, the parties' motions *in limine* are fully briefed; and WHEREAS on March 22, 2021, the parties jointly notified the Court that its decision on one of Defendant's motions *in limine* may help facilitate a settlement in advance of trial, Dkt.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that oral argument on the parties' motions *in limine* is scheduled for **April 9, 2021, at 2:30 p.m.** Oral argument will be conducted via Zoom video conference. Chambers will email the parties with further information on how to access the video conference and will post a subsequent order providing a public dial-in.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties must be prepared to discuss why their arguments, including those invoking judicial estoppel, are appropriate for disposition on a motion *in limine*. *See*, *e.g.*, *Audio Technica U.S.*, *Inc. v. United States*, 963 F.3d 569, 575 (6th Cir. 2020) ("[I]t was improper for the district court to consider judicial estoppel within the context of a motion *in limine*. Rather than addressing the admissibility of certain pieces or types

## Case 1:19-cv-01774-VEC-SLC Document 146 Filed 04/01/21 Page 2 of 2

of evidence, [plaintiff's] motion asked the court to bar the jury from hearing one side's argument, essentially granting them judgment as a matter of law on that point. Such non-evidentiary legal issues must be decided in the context of a motion for summary judgment . . . . ").

SO ORDERED.

**Date: April 1, 2021** 

New York, New York

VALERIE CAPRON

**United States District Judge**